

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/000,330	05/20/1998	TORU NAKAMURA	514420-3596	514420-3596 5116	
23416 7:	590 09/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2207	BOVE LODGE & F , N. DE 19899	IUTZ, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/000,330	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janis L. Dote	1756	

Advisory Action	09/000,330	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janis L. Dote	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, weal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or reply must be filed within one of the grate of the final rejection.	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri	cation in st for Continued ods:
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on 19 April 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENING.	CFR 41.37 must be filed within two reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	months of the date o smissal of the appeal.	f filing the
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in because it and the application in because it and the application in the application.		educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,	jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)[the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b)⊠ will b d below or appended.	e entered and an exp	lanation of how
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16, 21, 24-30, and 35.			
Claim(s) rejected. 10, 21, 24-30, and 33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		PRINCE DOTE PRIMARY SYSTEMER GESTS STA	T I
		1200	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Art Unit: 1756

1. The amendment filed Apr. 22, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection will be entered upon the filing of an appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Upon filing of an appeal and entry of the amendment, the status of the claims is as follows:

Allowed claim(s): none

Rejected claim(s): 16, 21, 24-30, and 35

Claim(s) objected to: none

See 37 CFR 1.193(a)(2) which provides for the inclusion of the proposed rejection(s) detailed below in the Examiner's Answer if applicants elect to file an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in this proceeding. To be complete, such rejections must be addressed in any brief on appeal.

Upon appeal and entry of the amendment:

Claims 16, 21, 24-30, and 35 would be rejected as follows:

- (1) Claims 16, 21, 24, 25, 28, and 35 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth the final Office Action mailed on Jan. 19, 2005, paragraph 7.
- (2) Claims 16, 21, 24, 26-30, and 35 under 35 USC 103(a) over US 5,292,609 (Yoshikawa) combined with US 5,179,171 (Minami), as evidenced by the Aldrich Catalog, page 1063, and

Application/Control Number: 09/000,330 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

Polymer Technology Dictionary, page 487, for the reasons set forth in the final Office action mailed on Jan. 19, 2005, paragraph 11.

2. The objection to claim 26 set forth in the final Office action mailed on Jan. 19, 2005, paragraph 8, will be withdrawn when the amendment filed on Apr. 19, 2005, has been entered.